arXiv:1703.03280v2 [math.CV] 12 Mar 2017

On the abscissas of convergence of Dirichlet series with random pairwise independent exponents

A. O. Kuryliak

Department of Mechanics and Mathematics,
Ivan Franko National University of L'viv, Ukraine
kurylyak88@gmail.com

O. B. Skaskiv

Department of Mechanics and Mathematics,
Ivan Franko National University of L'viv, Ukraine
olskask@gmail.com

N. Yu. Stasiv

Department of Mechanics and Mathematics,
Ivan Franko National University of L'viv, Ukraine
n-stas@ukr.net

Abstract

For the Dirichlet series of the form $F(z,\omega) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} f_k(\omega)e^{z\lambda_k(\omega)}$ ($z \in \mathbb{C}$, $\omega \in \Omega$) with pairwise independent real exponents $(\lambda_k(\omega))$ on probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$ an estimates of abscissas convergence and absolutely convergence are established.

Subject Classification: 30B20, 30D20

Keywords: random Dirichlet series, random exponents, abscissas of convergence.

1. Introduction. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$ be a probability space, $\mathbf{\Lambda} = \big(\lambda_k(\omega)\big)_{k=0}^{+\infty}$ and $\mathbf{f} = \big(f_k(\omega)\big)_{k=0}^{+\infty}$ sequences of positive and complex-valued random variables on it, respectively. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be the class of formal random Dirichlet series of the form

$$f(z) = f(z,\omega) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} f_k(\omega)e^{z\lambda_k(\omega)}$$

($z \in \mathbb{C}$, $\omega \in \Omega$). Let $\sigma_{\text{conv}}(f,\omega)$ and $\sigma_a(f,\omega)$ be the abscissa of convergence and absolute convergence of this series for fixed $\omega \in \Omega$, respectively. The simple

modification of [1]–[5] one has that for the Dirichlet series $f \in \mathcal{D}$ for fixed $\omega \in \Omega$ such that $\lambda_k(\omega) \to +\infty$ $(k \to +\infty)$

$$\sigma_a(f,\omega) \le \sigma_{\text{conv}}(f,\omega) \le \alpha_0(\omega) := \varliminf_{k\to+\infty} \frac{-\ln|f_k(\omega)|}{\lambda_k(\omega)} \le \sigma_a(f,\omega) + \tau(\omega,\Lambda), \tag{1}$$

or in the case $-\ln|f_k(\omega)| \to +\infty$ $(k \to +\infty)$

$$(1-h)\sigma_{\text{conv}}(f,\omega) \le (1-h)\alpha_0(\omega) \le \sigma_a(f,\omega), \quad h = h(\omega,\mathbf{f}) \tag{2}$$

where

$$\tau(\omega,\Lambda) := \varlimsup_{k\to\infty} \frac{\ln k}{\lambda_k(\omega)}, \quad h(\omega,\mathbf{f}) := \varlimsup_{k\to\infty} \frac{\ln k}{-\ln|f_k(\omega)|}.$$

Also,

$$\sigma_{\text{conv}}(f,\omega) = \sigma_a(f,\omega) = \alpha_0(\omega) \tag{3}$$

for fixed $\omega \in \Omega$ such that $\tau(\omega) = 0$ or $\ln k/(-\ln|f_k(\omega)|) \to +0$ $(k \to +\infty)$. Denote

$$\sigma(f,\omega) := \sigma_a(f,\omega).$$

Remark, that from condition $\tau(\omega) < +\infty$ we get $\lambda_k(\omega) \to +\infty$ $(k \to +\infty)$. From condition $\sigma_{\text{conv}}(f,\omega) > 0$ follows, that $-\ln|f_k(\omega)| \to +\infty$ $(k \to +\infty)$, because in this case of the series of the form $f(0) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} f_k(\omega)$ is convergent.

The following assertion is proved in [5, Corollary 5] (another version [4, Theorem 1]) in the case of the deterministic Dirichlet series with sequence of exponents that increase to infinity, i.e., $f_k(\omega) \equiv f_k \in \mathbb{C}$ $(k \ge 0)$ and $\lambda_k(\omega) \equiv \lambda_k$, $0 \le \lambda_k < \lambda_{k+1} \to +\infty$ $(0 \le k \to +\infty)$.

Proposition 1. *Let $f \in \mathcal{D}$. Then $\sigma_a(f,\omega) \le \sigma_{conv}(f,\omega) \le \alpha_0(\omega)$ $(\forall \omega \in \Omega)$, and*

$$\sigma_a(f,\omega) \ge \gamma(\omega)\alpha_0(\omega) - \delta(\omega) \ge \gamma(\omega)\sigma_{conv}(f,\omega) - \delta(\omega) \tag{4}$$

for arbitrary real random variables γ, δ and for all $\omega \in \Omega$ such that $\gamma(\omega) > 0$ and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} |f_k(\omega)|^{1-\gamma(\omega)} e^{-\delta(\omega)\lambda_k(\omega)} < +\infty. \tag{5}$$

Proof of Proposition 1. It is obviously that $\sigma_{\text{a}}(f,\omega) \le \sigma_{\text{conv}}(f,\omega)$.

We prove first that $\sigma_{\text{conv}}(f,\omega) \le \alpha_0(\omega)$. Indeed, assume that $\alpha_0(\omega) \ne \infty$ and put $x_0 = \alpha_0(\omega) + \varepsilon$, where $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary. Then,

$$|f_k(\omega)|e^{x_0\lambda_k(\omega)} = \exp\{\lambda_k(\omega)(\ln|f_k(\omega)|/\lambda_k(\omega) + x_0)\}.$$

But by definition of $\alpha_0(\omega)$ there exists a sequence $k_j \to +\infty$ $(j \to +\infty)$ such that

$$\ln|f_k(\omega)|/\lambda_k(\omega) > -(\alpha_0(\omega) + \varepsilon/2) \quad (k = k_j,\ j \ge 1).$$

Thus, $\ln|f_k(\omega)|/\lambda_k(\omega)+x_0>\varepsilon/2 \quad (k=k_j,\ j\geq 1)$, and

$$|f_k(\omega)|e^{x_0\lambda_k(\omega)}\geq e^{\lambda_k(\omega)\varepsilon/2}\geq 1 \quad (k=k_j,\ j\geq 1),$$

therefore $\sigma_{\text{conv}}(f,\omega)\leq\alpha_0(\omega)+\varepsilon$, but $\varepsilon>0$ is arbitrary.

The case $\alpha_0(\omega)=+\infty$ is trivial. In the case $\alpha_0(\omega)=-\infty$ for every $E>0$ and for some sequence $k_j\to+\infty$ $(j\to+\infty)$ by definition $\alpha_0(\omega)$ we obtain

$$\ln|f_k(\omega)|/\lambda_k>E \quad (k=k_j,\ j\geq 1).$$

Therefore $|f_k(\omega)|\exp\{-E\lambda_k\}>1$ $(k=k_j,\ j\geq 1)$, i.e. the Dirichlet series diverges at the point $z=-E$, but $E>0$ is arbitrary. Thus, $\sigma_{\text{conv}}=-\infty$.

Let now $x_0=\gamma(\omega)(\alpha_0(\omega)-\varepsilon)-\delta(\omega)$ for arbitrary $\varepsilon>0$. Then,

$$|f_k(\omega)|e^{x_0\lambda_k(\omega)}=|f_k(\omega)|^{1-\gamma(\omega)}e^{-\delta(\omega)\lambda_k(\omega)}|f_k(\omega)|^{\gamma(\omega)}e^{\gamma(\omega)(\alpha_0(\omega)-\varepsilon)\lambda_k(\omega)}=$$
$$=|f_k(\omega)|^{1-\gamma(\omega)}e^{-\delta(\omega)\lambda_k(\omega)}\left(|f_k(\omega)|e^{(\alpha_0(\omega)-\varepsilon)\lambda_k(\omega)}\right)^{\gamma(\omega)}. \tag{6}$$

By definition of $\alpha_0(\omega)$ we obtain

$$\alpha_0(\omega)<\frac{-\ln f_k(\omega)}{\lambda_k(\omega)}+\varepsilon/2$$

for $k\geq k_0(\omega)$, and thus

$$|f_k(\omega)|e^{(\alpha_0(\omega)-\varepsilon)\lambda_k(\omega)}<\exp\{-\lambda_k\varepsilon/2\}\leq 1 \quad (k\geq k_0(\omega)).$$

Hence by (6) one has

$$|f_k(\omega)|e^{x_0\lambda_k(\omega)}\leq|f_k(\omega)|^{1-\gamma(\omega)}e^{-\delta(\omega)\lambda_k(\omega)}$$

and by condition (5) we obtain

$$\sigma_a\geq x_0=\gamma(\omega)(\alpha_0(\omega)-\varepsilon)-\delta(\omega).$$

But, $\varepsilon>0$ is arbitrary. □

Remark 1. Condition (5) from Proposition 1 we get from following condition

$$h(\gamma,\delta,\omega):=\varliminf_{k\to\infty}\frac{(\gamma(\omega)-1)\ln|f_k(\omega)|+\delta(\omega)\lambda_k(\omega)}{\ln k}>1. \tag{7}$$

Moreover, condition (5) is weaker. Also note, that condition (5) implies, that for such ω

$$(\gamma(\omega)-1)\ln|f_k(\omega)|+\delta(\omega)\lambda_k(\omega)\to+\infty \quad (k\to+\infty).$$

But, in general, from this condition don't follows neither $\lambda_k(\omega)\to+\infty$ nor $\ln|f_k(\omega)|\to\infty$ $(k\to+\infty)$.

From Proposition 1 simply follows such a statement.

Proposition 2. *Let $f \in \mathcal{D}$. Then equalities* (3) *hold for all $\omega \in \Omega$ such, that*

$$\ln k = o(\ln |f_k(\omega)|) \quad (k \to +\infty). \tag{8}$$

Proof of Proposition 2. For fixed ω we put $\varepsilon_k(\omega) \overset{def}{=} \frac{\ln k}{\ln |f_k(\omega)|}$, $N^+ \overset{def}{=} \{k\colon \frac{1}{\varepsilon_k(\omega)} \geq 1\}$, $N^- \overset{def}{=} \{k\colon \frac{1}{\varepsilon_k(\omega)} \leq -1\}$. Then, there exists $k_0 = k_0(\omega) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k \in N^+ \cap N^-$ for all $k > k_0$. So we can write

$$\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} f_k(\omega) e^{z\lambda_k(\omega)} = \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} f_k(\omega) e^{z\lambda_k(\omega)} + \sum_{k\in N^+} f_k(\omega) e^{z\lambda_k(\omega)} + \\ + \sum_{k\in N^-} f_k(\omega) e^{z\lambda_k(\omega)} = f_1 + f_2 + f_3.$$

Remark that for f_2 condition (5) holds with $\gamma(\omega) = \gamma_2(\omega) = 1 + \varepsilon,\ \delta(\omega) = 0$ and for f_3 with $\gamma(\omega) = \gamma_3(\omega) = 1 - \varepsilon,\ \delta(\omega) = 0$, where $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. Indeed, for f_2 we have

$$h(\gamma, 0, \omega) = \varliminf_{k\to\infty,\ k\in N^+} \frac{(\gamma(\omega) - 1) \ln |f_k(\omega)|}{\ln k} = \\ = \varliminf_{k\to\infty,\ k\in N^+} \frac{\varepsilon \ln |f_k(\omega)|}{\ln k} = +\infty$$

and for f_3

$$h(\gamma, 0, \omega) = \varliminf_{k\to\infty,\ k\in N^-} \frac{(\gamma(\omega) - 1) \ln |f_k(\omega)|}{\ln k} = \\ = \varliminf_{k\to\infty,\ k\in N^-} \frac{-\varepsilon \ln |f_k(\omega)|}{\ln k} = +\infty.$$

Therefore condition (5) with $\delta(\omega) = 0$ holds in both cases. Hence, by inequality (4) from Proposition 1 we get

$$\sigma(F, \omega) = \min\{\sigma(f_1, \omega), \sigma(f_2, \omega)\} \geq \min\{\gamma_2(\omega)\alpha^+(\omega), \gamma_3(\omega)\alpha^-(\omega)\} - \delta(\omega) \geq \\ \geq \min\{\gamma_2(\omega)\alpha_0(\omega), \gamma_3(\omega)\alpha_0(\omega)\} \geq \min\{(1+\varepsilon)\alpha_0(\omega), (1-\varepsilon)\alpha_0(\omega)\},$$

where

$$\alpha^{\pm}(\omega) = \varliminf_{k\to\infty,\ k\in N^{\pm}} \frac{-\ln |f_k(\omega)|}{\lambda_k(\omega)}.$$

Whence, using arbitrariness of $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ we get $\sigma(F, \omega) \geq \alpha_0(\omega)$. It remains to recall that $\sigma(F, \omega) \leq \sigma(F, \omega) \leq \alpha_0(\omega)$. $\square$

Remark 2. If sequences Λ and $\mathbf{f}$ such that $\left(|f_k(\omega)|e^{x\lambda_k(\omega)}\right)$ is the sequences of independent random variables for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$, then by Kolmogorov's Zero-One Law ([6]) random variable $\sigma(f,\omega)$ is almost surely (a.s.) constant. That is $\sigma(f,\omega) = \sigma \in [-\infty,+\infty]$ a.s. In the book [6] it is written when Λ monotonic increasing to infinity sequence $\lambda_k(\omega) \equiv \lambda_k$. The same we get when $\left(\frac{-\ln|f_k(\omega)|}{\lambda_k(\omega)}\right)$ is the sequences of independent random variables, and $\tau(\omega,\Lambda) = 0$ or $h(\omega,\mathbf{f}) = 0$. It follows by Proposition 2 from equalities (3).

In the papers [7]–[12] considered question about abscissas of convergence random Dirichlet series from the class $\mathcal{D}$ in case, when $\Lambda_+ = (\lambda_k)$ is increasing sequence of positive numbers, i.e., $0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_k < \lambda_{k+1} \to +\infty$ $(1 \le k \to +\infty)$ and $\tau(\omega,\Lambda) \equiv \tau(\Lambda) < +\infty$.

We have such elementary assertion.

Proposition 3. *Let $f \in \mathcal{D}(\Lambda)$ be a Dirichlet series of the form*

$$f(z) = f(z,\omega) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} a_k Z_k(\omega) e^{z\lambda_k(\omega)},$$

where $(Z_k(\omega))$ is a sequence of random complex-valued variables.
1^0. *If the condition $\tau(\omega,\Lambda) = 0$ holds and*

$$\lim_{k\to+\infty} \frac{-\ln|Z_k(\omega)|}{\lambda_k(\omega)} = 0 \quad a.s., \tag{9}$$

then

$$\sigma_{conv}(f,\omega) = \sigma_a(f,\omega) = \alpha_0(\omega) = \varliminf_{k\to+\infty} \frac{-\ln|a_k|}{\lambda_k(\omega)} \quad a.s.$$

2^0. *If $\alpha_0(\omega) = +\infty$ and the conditions $\tau(\omega,\Lambda) < +\infty$,*

$$\varliminf_{k\to+\infty} \frac{-\ln|Z_k(\omega)|}{\lambda_k(\omega)} > -\infty \quad a.s. \tag{10}$$

hold, then $\sigma_a(f,\omega) = +\infty$ a.s.

Proposition 3 we immediately obtain from inequalities (1).

In the paper [8] is only 1^0 for the case of the Dirichlet series $f \in \mathcal{D}(\Lambda_+)$ of the form

$$f(z) = f(z,\omega) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} a_k Z_k(\omega) e^{z\lambda_k}.$$

From Proposition 3 in particular follows theorems 1 (when $\alpha_0 = +\infty$) and 3 (when $\alpha_0 = 0$) from [8], which are proved under such conditions for

mathematical expectation

$$(\exists \alpha > 0)\colon \ \sup\{\mathbf{M}|Z_k|^{\alpha}\colon \ k \geq 0\} < +\infty, \tag{11}$$

$$(\exists \beta > 0)\colon \ \sup\{\mathbf{M}|Z_k|^{-\beta}\colon \ k \geq 0\} < +\infty, \tag{12}$$

By the Bienayme-Chebyshev inequality ([17, 18]) and the Borel-Cantelli Lemma ([6], also about refined Second Borel-Cantelli lemma see [19]–[21]) from conditions (11) and (12) easy follows, that a.s. for all enough large k inequalities $k^{-\gamma} \leq |Z_k(\omega)| < k^{\gamma}$ with $\gamma = \max\{2/\alpha, 2/\beta\}$ hold, and if $\tau(\Lambda) = 0$, then and condition (9). Similarly, if $\tau(\Lambda) < +\infty$, then from condition (11) follows condition (10).

In papers [9, 10, 11] in the case of independent random variables $\mathbf{f} = (f_k)$, besides, generalized on class $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda)$ assertion of known Blackwell's conjecture on power series with random coefficients, proved in [22] (see also [6]). Besides, in [10] in case, when $f_k(\omega) = a_k Z_k(\omega)$, $\tau(\Lambda) < +\infty$, $0 < c_1 \leq |Z_k(\omega)| \leq c_2 < +\infty$ a.s. and $\mathbf{M}Z_k = 0$ $(k \geq 0)$, proved, that $\sigma_{\text{conv}}(f, \omega) \leq \sigma_a(f, \omega) + \tau(\Lambda)/2$ a.s.

It should be noted, that condition (9) can be replaced by condition on sequence of distribution functions of random variables $(|Z_k(\omega)|)$. Exactly, condition (9) follows from such condition (see [12])

$$(\forall \varepsilon > 0)\colon \ \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} P\{\omega\colon |\ln|Z_k(\omega)|| > \varepsilon\lambda_k\} < +\infty,$$

and the previous condition holds, if and only if for all $\varepsilon > 0$ the series

$$\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \left(1 - F_k^*(e^{\varepsilon\lambda_k}) + F_k^*(e^{-\varepsilon\lambda_k})\right) < +\infty$$

is convergent, where $F_k^*(x) := P\{\omega\colon |Z_k(\omega)| < x\}$ is the distribution function of $|Z_k(\omega)|$. In particular, from this condition follows $\lim_{k\to+\infty} F_k^*(+0) = 0$.

In general case, for Dirichlet series from the class $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda_+)$ in [12] (see also similar results for random gap power series in [13]–[16]) are proved such two theorems.

Theorem 1 ([12]). *Let $f \in \mathcal{D}(\Lambda_+)$ and $\mathbf{f} = \left(f_k(\omega)\right)$ be a sequence such that $\left(|f_k(\omega)|\right)$ is the sequence of pairwise independent random variables with functions of distribution $F_k(x) := P\{\omega : |f_k(\omega)| < x\}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $k \geq 0$. The following assertions are true:*
a) *If $\sigma(\omega) = \sigma(f, \omega) \geq \rho \in (-\infty, +\infty)$ a.s., then $(\forall \varepsilon > 0)\colon \ \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty}(1 - F_k((e^{-\rho} + \varepsilon)^{\lambda_k})) < \infty$.*
b) *If exists a sequence (δ_k) such, that $\delta_k > -\infty$ $(k \geq 0)$, $\varliminf\limits_{k\to+\infty} \delta_k = e^{-\rho}$, $\rho \in (-\infty, +\infty]$, and $\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty}(1 - F_k(\delta_k^{\lambda_k})) = +\infty$, then $\sigma(f, \omega) \leq \rho$ a.s.*

Theorem 2 ([12]). *Let $f \in \mathcal{D}(\Lambda_+)$ and $\mathbf{f} = \big(f_k(\omega)\big)$ be a sequence of random variables with functions of distribution $F_k(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, of the random variables $|f_k(\omega)|$, $k \geq 0$. The following assertions are true:*
a) *If there exist $\rho \in (-\infty, +\infty)$ and a sequence (ε_k) that $\varepsilon_k \to +0$ $(k \to +\infty)$ and $\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty}(1 - F_k((e^{-\rho} + \varepsilon_k)^{\lambda_k})) < \infty$, then $\sigma(f, \omega) \geq \rho$ a.s.*
b) *If $\sigma(f, \omega) = -\infty$ a.s., then $(\forall E > 1)\colon \ \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty}(1 - F_k(E^{\lambda_k})) = +\infty$.*

In this paper we prove similar theorems for Dirichlet series with random exponents $(\lambda_k(\omega))$ and deterministic coefficients $\mathbf{f} = (f_k)$, $f_k \in \mathbb{C}$, $k \geq 0$.

Note, in paper [23] the methods of probability theory are used to prove a number of theorems on the behavior of Dirichlet series with independent exponents. The results so obtained are applied to the theory of the ζ-function and to the behavior of the solution of the wave equation as $t \to \infty$. In article [24] a power series of the form $\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} z^{X_k(\omega)}$ are studied, where $(X_k(\omega))$ is a strictly increasing integer-valued stochastic process.

2. The main results: series with random exponents. In this section we assume, that $f_k(\omega) \equiv f_k \in \mathbb{C}$ $(k \geq 0)$ and condition

$$\ln k = o(\ln |f_k|) \quad (k \to +\infty),$$

holds, that condition (8) satisfies for all $\omega \in \Omega$, therefore by Proposition 2 equalities (3) for every $\omega \in \Omega$ hold.

Theorem 3. *Let $f \in \mathcal{D}(\Lambda)$ and $\Lambda = \big(\lambda_k(\omega)\big)$ be a sequence of pairwise independent random variables with distribution functions $F_k(x) := P\{\ \omega : \lambda_k(\omega) < x\}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $k \geq 0$. The following assertions hold:*
i) $\sigma(\omega) = \sigma(f, \omega) \geq \rho \in (0, +\infty)$ *a.s.* $\Longrightarrow (\forall \varepsilon \in (0, \rho))\colon \ \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty}\big(1 - F_k(\frac{\ln |f_k|}{-\rho+\varepsilon})\big) < \infty$;
ii) $0 \geq \sigma(\omega) = \sigma(f, \omega) \geq \rho \in (-\infty, 0]$ *a.s.* $\Longrightarrow (\forall \varepsilon > 0)\colon \ \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} F_k(\frac{\ln |f_k|}{-\rho+\varepsilon}) < \infty$;

Proof of Theorem 3. **i)** If $\sigma(f, \omega) \geq \rho \in (0, +\infty)$ a.s., then from (3) we have

$$(\exists B \in \mathcal{A}, P(B) = 1)(\forall \omega \in B)\colon \ \varliminf_{k \to +\infty} \frac{-\ln |f_k|}{\lambda_k(\omega)} \geq \rho,$$

and by definition of $\varliminf$,

$$(\forall \omega \in B)(\forall \varepsilon \in (0, \rho))(\exists k^*(\omega) \in \mathbb{N})(\forall k \geqslant k^*(\omega))\colon \ \lambda_k(\omega) < \frac{1}{(-\rho + \varepsilon)} \ln |f_k|. \tag{13}$$

We denote $A_k := \Big\{\omega\colon \lambda_k(\omega) \geq \dfrac{1}{(-\rho + \varepsilon)} \ln |f_k|\Big\}$. It is clear, that $B \subset \overline{C} := \bigcup_{N=0}^{\infty} \bigcap_{k=N}^{\infty} \overline{A}_k$, hence $P(\overline{C}) = 1$, and $C = \bigcap_{N=0}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=N}^{\infty} A_k$ is the event "(A_k) infinitely often", i.e. $\overline{C}$ is the event "(A_k) finitely often".

From pairwise independence of random variables $(\lambda_k(\omega))$ follows pairwise independence of events (A_k). Therefore, by refined Second Borel-Cantelli Lemma ([21, p.84])

$$\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty}\Big(1-F_k\big(\ln|f_k|/(-\rho+\varepsilon)\big)\Big)=\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty}P(A_k)<+\infty.$$

ii) If $0\geq\sigma(\omega,f)\geq\rho\in(-\infty,0]$ a.s., then instead of (13) we obtain

$$(\forall\omega\in B,P(B)=1)(\forall\varepsilon>0)(\exists k^*(\omega)\in\mathbb{N})(\forall k\geq k^*(\omega))\colon$$
$$\lambda_k(\omega)>\frac{1}{(-\rho+\varepsilon)}\ln|f_k|.$$

Therefore, for $A_k:=\Big\{\omega\colon\lambda_k(\omega)\leq\dfrac{1}{(-\rho+\varepsilon)}\ln|f_k|\Big\}$ by the Second Borel-Cantelli lemma we obtain again

$$\sum\nolimits_{k=0}^{+\infty}F_k\big(\ln|f_k|/(-\rho+\varepsilon)\big)=\sum\nolimits_{k=0}^{+\infty}P(A_k)<+\infty.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3. □

Remark 3. If $\sigma(f,\omega)>\rho\in[0,+\infty)$ a.s., then from (3) by definition of $\varliminf$ we have

$$(\forall\omega\in B)(\exists\varepsilon^*=\varepsilon^*(\omega)>0)(\exists k^*(\omega)\in\mathbb{N})(\forall k\geqslant k^*(\omega))\colon$$
$$\lambda_k(\omega)<\frac{1}{-(\rho+\varepsilon^*)}\ln|f_k|,$$

and similarly as in proof of **i**) we obtain $\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty}\big(1-F_k(\frac{-\ln|f_k|}{\rho})\big)<+\infty$ in the case $\rho>0$ and in the case $\rho=0$ one has $\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty}\big(1-F_k(+0)\big)<+\infty$, i.e., in particular, $\lim_{k\to+\infty}F_k(+0)=1$. Namely, if $\varliminf\limits_{k\to+\infty}F_k(+0)<1$, then $\sigma(f,\omega)\leq 0$ a.s.

Theorem 4. *Let $\Lambda=\big(\lambda_k(\omega)\big)$ be a sequence of random variables with distribution functions $F_k(x):=P\{\omega:\lambda_k(\omega)<x\}$, $x\in\mathbb{R}$, $k\geq 0$, and $f\in\mathcal{D}(\Lambda)$. The following assertions hold:*
i) *If there exist $\rho\in(0,+\infty)$ and a sequence (ε_k) such that $\varepsilon_k\to+0$ $(k\to+\infty)$ and $\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty}\big(1-F_k(\frac{\ln|f_k|}{-\rho+\varepsilon_k})\big)<+\infty$, then $\sigma(f,\omega)\geq\rho$ a.s.*
ii) *If there exist $\rho\in(-\infty,0]$ and a sequence (ε_k) such that $\varepsilon_k\to+0$ $(k\to+\infty)$ and $\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty}F_k(\frac{\ln|f_k|}{-\rho+\varepsilon_k})<+\infty$, then $\sigma(f,\omega)\geq\rho$ a.s.*

Proof of Theorem 4. **i**) We denote $A_k=\big\{\omega\colon\lambda_k(\omega)\geq\frac{\ln|f_k|}{-\rho+\varepsilon_k}\big\}$. Taking into account that $1-F_k(\frac{\ln|f_k|}{-\rho+\varepsilon_k})=P(A_k)$, from condition we obtain, that

$\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} P(A_k) < \infty$, and by the first part of Borel-Cantelli Lemma $P(\overline{C}) = 1$, $C := \bigcap_{N=0}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=N}^{\infty} A_k$. Since, $\overline{C} = \bigcup_{N=0}^{\infty} \bigcap_{k=N}^{\infty} \overline{A}_k$, then for all $\omega \in \overline{C}$ there exists $k = k^*(\omega)$ such that $\omega \in \overline{A}_k$ and $-\rho + \varepsilon_k < 0$ for all $k \geq k^*(\omega)$. Here, $(\forall k \geq k^*(\omega))\colon \lambda_k(\omega) < \frac{\ln|f_k|}{-\rho+\varepsilon_k}$. Using $\frac{-\ln|f_k|}{\lambda_k(\omega)} > \rho - \varepsilon_k$, we get

$$\sigma(f,\omega) = \varliminf_{k\to+\infty} \frac{-\ln|f_k|}{\lambda_k(\omega)} \geq \varliminf_{k\to+\infty} (\rho - \varepsilon_k) = \rho \quad \text{a.s.} \tag{14}$$

ii) We denote $A_k := \left\{\omega\colon \lambda_k(\omega) < \dfrac{\ln|f_k|}{-\rho+\varepsilon_k}\right\}$. By the condition $\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} P(A_k) < +\infty$. Since, by the first part of Borel-Cantelli lemma $P(\overline{C}) = 1$, $C := \bigcap_{N=0}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=N}^{\infty} A_k$. Where, as above for every $\omega \in \overline{C} = \bigcup_{N=0}^{\infty} \bigcap_{k=N}^{\infty} \overline{A}_k$ there exists $k = k^*(\omega)$ such that $\omega \in \overline{A}_k$ and $-\rho + \varepsilon_k > 0$ for all $k \geq k^*(\omega)$, such hat, $(\forall k \geq k^*(\omega))\colon \lambda_k(\omega) \geq \frac{\ln|f_k|}{-\rho+\varepsilon_k}$. Hence, $\frac{-\ln|f_k|}{\lambda_k(\omega)} > \rho - \varepsilon_k$ and, therefore, we have again the "chain" of relations (14).

The proof of Theorem 4 is complete. □

2. Some corollaries.

Corollary 5. *Let $f \in \mathcal{D}(\Lambda)$ and $\Lambda = (\lambda_k(\omega))$ be a sequence of pairwise independent random variables with distribution functions $F_k(x)$, $k \geq 0$. If $\varliminf\limits_{k\to+\infty} F_k(+0) < 1$ and $f_k \to 0$ $(k \to +\infty)$, then $\sigma(f,\omega) = 0$ a.s.*

Proof of Corollary 5. By Remark 3, $\sigma(f,\omega) \leq 0$ a.s. It is remains to prove that $\sigma(f,\omega) \geq 0$ a.s. Indeed, $\lambda_k(\omega) \geq 0$, therefore $F_k(0) = P\{\omega\colon \lambda_k(\omega) < 0\} = 0$. Hence, $\sum_{k=k_0}^{+\infty} F_k(\frac{\ln|f_k|}{\varepsilon_k}) < +\infty$ because $\frac{\ln|f_k|}{\varepsilon_k} < 0$ $(k \geq k_0)$ and by Theorem 4 **ii**), $\sigma(f,\omega) \geq 0$ a.s. □

Corollary 6. *Let $f \in \mathcal{D}(\Lambda)$ and $\Lambda = (\lambda_k(\omega))$ be a sequence of pairwise independent random variables with distribution functions $F_k(x)$, $k \geq 0$. If there exists a positive random variable $a(\omega)$ such that $(\forall x \geq 0)(\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_+)\colon$ $F_k(x) \leq F_a(x) := P\{\omega\colon a(\omega) < x\}$ and $F_a(+0) < 1$ and $f_k \to 0$ $(k \to +\infty)$, then $\sigma(f,\omega) = 0$ a.s.*

The statement of Corollary 6 follows immediately from Corollary 5.

Corollary 7. *Let $f \in \mathcal{D}(\Lambda)$ and $\Lambda = (\lambda_k(\omega))$ be a sequence of random variables with distribution functions $F_k(x)$, $k \geq 0$. If $f_k \to 0$ $(k \to +\infty)$ and there exist a positive random variable $b(\omega)$ and $\rho > 0$ such that $(\forall x \geq 0)(\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_+)\colon$ $F_k(x) \geq F_b(x) := P\{\omega\colon b(\omega) < x\}$, $\int_0^{+\infty} n_\mu(t\rho)\, dF_b(t) < +\infty$, where $n_\mu(t) = \sum_{\mu_k \leq t} 1$ is the counting function of a sequence $\mu_k = -\ln|f_k|$, then $\sigma(f,\omega) \geq \rho$ a.s.*

Proof of Corollary 7. We remark that

$$\sum_{k=k_0}^{n}\Big(1-F_k(\frac{\ln|f_k|}{-\rho+\varepsilon_k})\Big)\le\sum_{k=k_0}^{n}\Big(1-F_k(\frac{-\ln|f_k|}{\rho})\Big)\le$$

$$\le\int\limits_{\mu_{k_0}}^{\mu_n}\Big(1-F_k\big(t/\rho\big)\Big)dn_\mu(t)\le\int\limits_{\mu_{k_0}}^{\mu_n}(1-F_b(t/\rho))dn_\mu(t)+O(1)=$$

$$=\int\limits_{\mu_{k_0}}^{\mu_n}n_\mu(t)\;dF_b(t/\rho)+O(1)=\int\limits_{\mu_{k_0}/\rho}^{\mu_n/\rho}n_\mu(t\rho)\;dF_b(t)+O(1)$$

as $n\to+\infty$, because $-\ln|f_k|>0$ for $k\ge k_0$ and $\rho-\varepsilon_k<\rho$ for all $k\ge 0$. Thus $\sum_{k=k_0}^{+\infty}\Big(1-F_k(\frac{\ln|f_k|}{-\rho+\varepsilon_k})\Big)<+\infty$. Hence by Theorem 4 **ii**) we complete the proof. □

Corollary 8. *Let $\Lambda=(\lambda_k(\omega))$ be a increasing (a.s.) sequence of pairwise independent random variables and $f\in\mathcal{D}(\Lambda)$. If $F_0(+0)<1$, where F_0 is distribution function of $\lambda_0(\omega)$, and $f_k\to 0$ $(k\to+\infty)$, then $\sigma(f,\omega)=0$ a.s.*

Proof of Corollary 8. We remark that $F_{k+1}(x)=P\{\omega\colon\lambda_{k+1}(\omega)<x\}\le$ $\le P\{\omega\colon\lambda_k(\omega)<x\}=F_k(x)\le\ldots\le F_0(x)$, because $\lambda_k(\omega)\le\lambda_{k+1}(\omega)$ $(k\ge 0)$ a.s. Therefore, by Corollary 6 we obtain the conclusion of Corollary 8. □

References

[1] Mandelbrojt S. Séries de Dirichlet: Principes et Méthodes. – Paris: Gauthier-Villars, 1969.

[2] Leont'ev A.F. Exponential series. – Moscow: Nauka, 1976. (in Russian)

[3] Sheremeta M.M. Entire Dirichlet series. – Kyiv,: ISDO, 1993. (in Ukainian)

[4] Mulyava O.M. *On the convergence abscissa of a Dirichlet series* // Mat. Stud. – 1998. – **9**, no. 2. – P. 171-176. (in Ukrainian)

[5] Zadorozhna O.Yu., Skaskiv O.B. *Elementary remarks on the abscissas of the convergence of Laplace-Stieltjes integrals* // Bukovyn. Mat. Zh. – 2013. – V.1, no. 3-4. – P.45–50. (in Ukrainian)

[6] Kahane J.–P. Some random series of functions. – 2nd. ed. – Cambridge stud. in adv. math. 5. – Cambridge Univ. Press, 1985. – 308 p.

[7] Tian F. Sur les séries aléatoires de Dirichlet / Fan-ji Tian, Dao-chun Sun, Jia-rong Yu // C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris. – 1998. – V.326, Série 1. – P.427–431.

[8] Tian F. *Growth of random Dirichlet series* // Acta Math. Sci. – 2000. – V.20, 3. – P.390–396.

[9] Hedenmalm H. Topics in the theory of Dirichlet series/ H. Hedenmalm // Visn. Kharkiv. un-tu. Ser. mat., prykl. mat., mekh. – 2000. – no. 475. – P.195–203.

[10] Filevych P.V. *On the relations between the abscissa of convergence and the abscissa of absolute convergence of random Dirichlet series* // Mat. Stud. – 2003. – V.20, no. 1. – P.33–39.

[11] Ding X., Xiao Y. *Natural boundary of random Dirichlet series* // Ukr. mat. zh. – 2006. – V.58, no. 7. – .997–1005.

[12] Skaskiv O.B., Shapovalovska L.O. *On the abscissas convergence random Dirichlet series* // Bukovyn. Mat. Zh. – 2015. – V.3, no. 1. – P.110–114. (in Ukrainian)

[13] Arnold L. *Über die Konverge einer zufälligen Potenzreihe* // J. Reine Angew. Math. – 1966. – V.222. – P.79–112.

[14] Arnold L. *Konvergenzprobleme bei zufälligen Potenzreihen mit Lücken* // Math. Zeitschr. – 1966. – Bd.92. – S.356–365.

[15] Roters K. *Convergence of random power series with pairwise independent Banach-space-valued coefficients* // Statistics and Probability Letters. – 1993. – V.18. – P.121–123.

[16] Shapovalovska L.O., Skaskiv O.B. *On the radius of convergence of random gap power series* // Int. Journal of Math. Analysis. – 2015. – V.9, no. 38. – P.1889–1893.

[17] Godwin H.J. *On generalizations of Tchebycheff's inequality*// J.Amer Stat. Assoc. – 1955. – V.50. – P.923–945.

[18] Savage I.R. *Probability inequalities of the Tchebycheff type*// Journal of Reseaech National Bureau of Standarts. – 1961. – V.65B, no. 3. – P. 211–222.

[19] Erdős P., Rényi A. *On Cantor's series with convergent* $\sum 1/q_n$ // Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest Eőtvős. Sect. Math. – 1959. – V.2. – P.93–109.

[20] Petrov V.V. Sums of independent random variables. – New York: Springer, 1975.

[21] Billingsley P. Probability and measure. – New York: Wiley, 1986.

[22] Ryll-Nardzewski C. *D. Blackwell's conjecture on power series with random coefficients* // Studia Math. – 1953. – V.13. – P.30–36.

[23] Nikišin E.M. *Dirichlet series with independent exponents and some of their applications* // Mat. Sb., N. Ser. **96(138)**:1 (1975), 3–40.(in Russsian) English transl. in: Mathematics of the USSR-Sbornik (1975),**25**(1):1

[24] Holgate Ph. *Some power series with random gaps* // Adv. Appl. Prob. **21** (1989), 708–710.